Downloaded from: justpaste.it/juc1y

Dr. Mike Yeadon - FINAL WARNING (~ Übersetzung)

Übersetzung (geglättet, für Untertitel optimiert)
1. Zusammenfassung
2. Ausführliche kritische Stellungnahme im Conspiracy-Mode
3. Kurze Kritik aus Sicht des offiziellen Narrativs
4. Stellungnahme von Grok 3
5. englische Untertitel aus srt-Untertitel-Datei

##########

Dr. Mike Yeadon - FINAL WARNING – (03.07.2025 Oracle Films)



.https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bgOZ64oJo6U.

---

https://rumble.com/v6vpeup-documentary-final-warning-dr-mike-yeadon-interview-4k-oracle-films..html
https://odysee.com/@ipnewsandreviews:a/FINAL-WARNING-Dr-Mike-Yeadon-Interview:5
https://www.bitchute.com/video/OhWyu6dEStGB

Die meisten Menschen haben vermutlich noch nie von mir gehört. Ich bin ein lebenslanger Forschungswissenschaftler und habe 32 Jahre lang in der Pharma- und Biotech-Industrie gearbeitet. Meine höchsten Positionen waren bei Pfizer, wo ich Vizepräsident und weltweiter Leiter der Forschung für allergische und Atemwegserkrankungen war. Seit 2020 spreche ich gegen die

betrügerische Pandemie und die absichtlich gefährlichen Injektionen. Meine Erfahrung war, dass ich zensiert und diffamiert wurde. Wenn Sie also noch nie von mir gehört haben, liegt das an dieser Zensur und Verleumdung – das ist, was Menschen widerfährt, die versuchen, die Wahrheit zu sagen, die die Machthaber nicht hören wollen.

Ich gebe nicht auf, weil ich nichts mehr zu sagen hätte. Ich denke, ich habe genug gesagt, sodass jeder, der meine Aussagen sucht, mich leicht finden kann. Wer nicht sucht, wird auch durch zehn oder hundert weitere Videos nicht erreicht. Ich glaube, es gibt andere Aufgaben für mich. Dieses Jahr könnte ein Jahr großer Veränderungen sein. Ich sehe keinen Sinn darin, noch viel mehr zu tun. Ich habe über 300 Video- und Audio-Interviews gegeben und bin heute besorgter als 2020 oder 2021. Leider bin ich mir meiner damaligen vorläufigen Bedenken nun sicherer. Ich verstehe die Geschichte hinter dem, was ich den „Unsinn“ von 2020 nenne – die Lügen über die Nicht-Pandemie und die Injektionen, die keine Impfstoffe sind – viel besser.

Als ich 2021 ein großes Interview gab, war ich überzeugt, dass es keine Pandemie gab oder dass das, was geschah, absichtlich und geplant übertrieben wurde, um Angst zu verbreiten. Aufgrund meiner über 30-jährigen Erfahrung als Forschungsdirektor in der Pharma-Industrie war ich besonders besorgt über die Injektionen. Es gab keine Bedrohung für die öffentliche Gesundheit – warum also sollte man sich injizieren lassen? Mein Denken hat sich seitdem stark weiterentwickelt. Es ist unmöglich, dass diese Injektionen jemandem helfen. Jedes Medikament, jedes Molekül und jeder Bestandteil darin wurde bewusst ausgewählt, sei es zur Stabilisierung oder um eine Tablette groß genug zum Schlucken zu machen. Manche Wirkstoffe sind so klein, dass sie ohne Hilfsstoffe nicht sichtbar wären.

Als ich früher sprach, sah ich mehrere Merkmale in den sogenannten Impfstoffen, die nicht notwendig waren, selbst wenn man die Lügen über sie glaubte. Mein Fazit ist – und ich bin wohl besser qualifiziert als die meisten, dies zu behaupten – dass sie absichtlich so gestaltet wurden, um zu schädigen, zu töten und die Fruchtbarkeit zu reduzieren. Ich habe nie behauptet, dass sie viele Menschen schnell töten würden. Tatsächlich sagte ich damals, dass sie nicht riskieren würden, zu viele zu früh zu verletzen, weil die Leute es bemerken würden. Ich bemerkte auch andere Dinge, wie die Diskussion über digitales Geld, das Abschaffen von Bargeld und digitale IDs – erinnern Sie sich an Tony Blairs Versuche in den 90ern?

Ich habe die Punkte verbunden und ein Bild gesehen: eine Welt, in der digitale IDs Pflicht sind, Bargeld abgeschafft ist und man nur mit digitalem Geld bezahlen kann. Wenn ich böse wäre, würde ich sagen, dass Ihre digitale ID nur gültig ist, wenn Sie mit Ihren Injektionen auf dem neuesten Stand sind. Ich stieß auf ein EU-Dokument namens „EU Varico“ (VAB), das 2018 begann. Kurz gesagt: Die EU-Kommission erwartet eine Zukunft, in der jeder EU-Bürger eine digitale ID hat, deren Gültigkeit davon abhängt, ob er oder sie die neuesten mRNA-„Impfstoffe“ erhalten hat. Es geht um regelmäßige Injektionen für den Rest Ihres Lebens – angeblich zur Verbesserung von Gesundheit und Sicherheit beim Reisen. Das ist eine Lüge.

Ihre Impfung schützt niemanden. Nach meinen Erkenntnissen der letzten Jahre empfehle ich, überhaupt keine Impfstoffe zu nehmen. Wann haben Regierungen jemals wirklich um Ihre Gesundheit gekämpft? Behauptungen, dass Sie etwas für Ihre Gesundheit und Sicherheit tun müssen, sind nur Ausreden – vorgeschobene Gründe. Die Leute, die versuchen, unser Leben zu kontrollieren, nutzen immer zwei Dinge: eine Ausrede und den wahren Grund. In diesem Fall lautet die Ausrede, dass Sie geimpft werden müssen, um sich vor gefährlichen Viren zu schützen. Der wahre Grund ist, dass sie Sie krank machen und töten wollen. Das ist die Wahrheit.

Es gibt eine übernationale Gruppe – UN, WHO, Weltwirtschaftsforum, Bilderberg, Trilaterale Kommission –, die alle von denselben Leuten gegründet wurden. Sie existieren seit Jahrzehnten, einige sogar über ein Jahrhundert. Ich glaube, sie sehen es als ihre Bestimmung, uns „kleine Leute“ zu kontrollieren, die sie als „nutzlose Esser“ betrachten. Was passiert mit „nutzlosen Essern“? Sie glauben, es sei ihre Pflicht, die Kontrolle über alle, überall und für immer zu übernehmen – mit digitalen Mitteln: digitale ID, digitales Geld, Geolokalisierung und die Verpflichtung zu Injektionen. Das ist ein erschreckend einfaches Kontrollsystem.

Ich warne davor, sich für eine digitale ID anzumelden. Vielleicht bereue ich diese Aussage, aber Sie brauchen keine globale, editierbare, biometrische digitale ID. Das ist die letzte wirklich wichtige Entscheidung, die Sie treffen werden, wenn Sie sich dafür anmelden. Die Übernehmer brauchen danach weder Ihre Zustimmung noch Ihre Mitwirkung. Ich sehe, dass die Schulden aller großen Länder absichtlich angehäuft wurden, bis sie offensichtlich unbezahlbar sind. Wir können nicht einmal die Zinsen bedienen. Ich sage mit großer Sicherheit voraus, dass es ein Ereignis wie die Finanzkrise 2008 geben wird, nur tausendmal schlimmer. Alle Länder und Unternehmen werden gleichzeitig bankrott sein.

David Rogers Webb, ein pensionierter Hedgefonds-Manager, hat entdeckt, dass die Gesetze zu Privateigentum über die letzten 50 bis 60 Jahre heimlich geändert wurden. Wenn Sie denken, Sie besitzen etwas, schauen Sie in Ihren Investmentkonten nach: Sie finden keine Eigentumsnachweise, nur den Begriff „wirtschaftlicher Eigentümer“. Im Falle eines großen Ausfalls können die vorrangigen Gläubiger alles beschlagnahmen – Ihr Haus, Ihre Rente, Ihre Aktien. Das ist legaler Diebstahl, der innerhalb von Stunden nach einer großen Finanzkrise stattfinden wird. Selbst wenn Sie niemanden verdächtigen, sollten Sie das ernst nehmen. Ich habe bei meiner Ruhestandsplanung eine Krise wie 2008 einkalkuliert, aber diese können wir nicht überleben.

Katherine Austin Fitts, eine Finanzexpertin, sagt: „Sie besitzen nur, was Sie physisch halten und mit einer Waffe verteidigen können.“ Das klingt nach einem Scherz, aber es stimmt. Wenn Sie Vermögen bei Dritten lagern, wie Gold in einer Bank oder eine große Rente bei einem Finanzintermediär, wird es bei einer Krise legal gestohlen. David Rogers Webbs kostenloses Buch „The Great Taking“ erklärt das. Es ist ein globaler Coup. Wenn Sie sich für eine digitale ID anmelden, wird das die letzte wichtige Entscheidung sein, die Sie treffen, denn Ihre Regierung und

Institutionen werden Sie nur noch über diese ID anerkennen. Sie werden zu einem QR-Code reduziert, zu einer Adresse für Ressourcen, die editiert werden können – einschließlich Ihres Impfstatus.

Ich bin Toxikologe und habe Jahrzehnte in der rationalen Arzneimittelentwicklung gearbeitet. Ich bin sicher, dass diese Injektionen darauf ausgelegt sind, zu schädigen. Ihre digitale ID wird nur gültig sein, wenn Sie die neuesten Injektionen haben. Ohne gültige ID können Sie nichts kaufen – kein Wasser, kein Sandwich. Stellen Sie sich vor, Sie müssten Ihren Pass zeigen, um Benzin zu kaufen. Genau das kommt. Wenn Sie ketzerische Worte im Internet sagen oder Ihre 15-Minuten-Zone verlassen, funktioniert Ihr digitales Geld nicht. Das ist die ultimative Form der Sklaverei, von anderen als „digitales Gulag“ bezeichnet.

Der Weg, dies zu stoppen, ist, sich zu weigern, sich anzumelden. Wenn die Regierung sagt, Sie bekommen keine Sozialleistungen ohne digitale ID, schlucken Sie schwer und lehnen Sie ab. Je mehr Menschen sich weigern, desto wahrscheinlicher können wir das besiegen. Wenn Sie Kinder haben, sollten Sie bereit sein, für eine Weile zu hungern, um das zu verhindern. Ich habe gesagt, ich werde keine digitale ID annehmen. Wenn ich dadurch den Zugang zu meinem Geld verliere, sei’s drum. Ich will nicht in einer Welt leben, in der ich mich durch Scannen in Läden bewege, um verschimmeltes Insektenbrot zu kaufen. Je härter die Strafen, desto sicherer sollten Sie sein, dass dies böse ist.

Denken Sie an die NHS 100K-Kampagne: 100.000 Menschen demonstrierten gegen die Impfpflicht, und die Regierung gab nach. Es gibt genug Macht, wenn wir unseren Mut zusammennehmen. Sie brauchen nur Mut, wenn Sie bedroht werden. Ich habe keine Angst, und ich sehe nicht ängstlich aus, weil ich es nicht bin. Jeder stirbt irgendwann, aber ich will nicht früher als nötig gehen. Wenn ich tue, was diese Tyrannen wollen, ist mein freies Leben vorbei. Wir können das besiegen, wenn genug von uns mutig sind. Gewalt ist sinnlos – die Regierung würde das lieben. Kämpfen Sie, indem Sie nicht mitmachen. Melden Sie sich nicht für digitale IDs an. Wenn sie Ihnen sagen, es gibt eine neue Krise und Sie sollen zu Hause bleiben, gehen Sie spazieren. Glauben Sie den Mainstream-Medien nicht mehr.

Ich habe schon 2020 vor der Toxizität der Injektionen gewarnt, bevor sie zugelassen wurden, und ich lag richtig. Ich wünschte, ich hätte mich geirrt, aber ich irre mich nicht. Schauen Sie sich David Rogers Webb und Ben Rubin an. Rubin, ein ehemaliger Unternehmensberater, erkannte, dass er 20 Jahre lang an globalisierten Systemen mitgearbeitet hat, die für etwas Unangenehmes genutzt werden. Ich würde nicht mehr in der Pharma- oder Biotech-Industrie arbeiten. Ich traue ihr nicht mehr. Hören Sie auf Rubin, er erklärt besser, wie wir politisch hierhergekommen sind. Aber ich sage Ihnen: Nehmen Sie keine Impfung, und lassen Sie sich nicht von Lügen ängstigen. Wenn Sie sich für eine digitale ID entscheiden müssen, zögern Sie es hinaus. Wenn es gut für Sie wäre, warum sollten sie Sie bestrafen?

Tony Blair ist kein guter Mensch. Seine „dritte Weise“ mit öffentlich-privaten Partnerschaften führte zu massiven Schulden. Die Regierung hat neue Krankenhäuser gebaut, ohne Steuern zu erhöhen – aber die Schulden sind da. Jetzt ist die Schuldenrückzahlung einer der größten Haushaltsposten, größer als Bildung. Alle westlichen Länder sind effektiv bankrott.

Sie haben das Land bankrott gemacht, und das ist offensichtlich, wenn man Leute wie David Rogers Webb liest. Wenn sie Ihnen von Vogelgrippe erzählen, glauben Sie es nicht. Vögel bekommen keine Grippe. Sie husten oder niesen nicht. Der PCR-Test ist Betrug und misst nichts. Wenn sie sagen, sie haben Vogelgrippe in einer Herde gefunden, haben sie den Test missbraucht. Vögel können krank werden, wenn sie schlecht behandelt oder gestresst werden, aber es ist keine Grippe. Auch Affenpocken halte ich für unwahrscheinlich.

Ich war kein Virologe, aber ich wurde gelehrt, dass Erkältungen und Grippe durch submikroskopische infektiöse Partikel namens Viren verursacht werden. Ich habe das geglaubt, weil ich nicht in dem Bereich gearbeitet habe. Aber in den letzten fünf Jahren musste ich mich fragen, was ich wirklich weiß. Es gibt zwei Arten von Wissen: Dinge, die Sie selbst überprüft haben, und Dinge, die Ihnen jemand erzählt hat und die Sie geglaubt haben. Das meiste, was wir wissen, gehört zur zweiten Kategorie. Ich musste demütig werden und erkennen, dass vieles, was ich gelernt habe, eine Lüge war. Erkältungen und Grippe werden nicht durch Viren verursacht. Ich habe die Literatur studiert und festgestellt, dass die Beweise für Atemwegsviren betrügerisch sind.

Experimente über 100 Jahre zeigen, dass Menschen, die Zeit mit Erkälteten oder Grippekranken verbringen, nicht häufiger krank werden als die, die mit Gesunden zusammen sind. Ansteckung von Atemwegserkrankungen findet nicht statt. Wenn Sie eine Erkältung bekommen, denken Sie vielleicht, Sie haben sie von jemandem, weil wir nach Mustern suchen. Aber das ist nicht, wie es funktioniert. Erkältungen und Grippe entstehen durch ein Ungleichgewicht im Körper, durch Stress, Schlafmangel oder Temperaturwechsel. Ihre Nase filtert hervorragend, aber wenn Sie durch den Mund atmen, kann das Gleichgewicht gestört werden.

Ich bin stolz darauf, gegen alle Impfungen zu sein. Sasha Latypova und Katherine Watt, zwei Expertinnen, haben gezeigt, dass Impfstoffe nie dazu gedacht waren, Krankheiten zu reduzieren. Sie enthalten Stoffe, die schaden, wie Spuren von Erdnussöl, Milch- oder Rindproteinen, die Allergien auslösen können. Früher waren Allergien selten, heute hat jeder Dritte eine. Diese Stoffe wurden absichtlich hinzugefügt, um Menschen gegen Grundnahrungsmittel zu sensibilisieren. Charles Richet bekam 1902 den Nobelpreis für die Entdeckung, dass kleine Dosen Fremdproteine Allergien auslösen können.

Die mRNA-Injektionen zwingen den Körper, fremde Proteine zu produzieren, was Autoimmunreaktionen auslöst. Das ist seit den 1700ern so. Ich beschränke meine Aussagen auf Atemwegserkrankungen, weil ich dort die Forschung gemacht habe. Kollegen, die mich widerlegen wollen, können mich kontaktieren – ich habe die Beweise.

Erkältungen und Grippe sind keine Ansteckung, sondern ein Verlust des Gleichgewichts in den Lungen. Menschen mit Mukoviszidose haben oft Erkältungen, weil sie die Flüssigkeit in den Lungen nicht regulieren können. Das ist der Mechanismus: ein Ungleichgewicht, keine Viren. Es gibt keine Beweise für eine Pandemie vor der WHO-Erklärung 2020. Die Daten kamen erst nach der Erklärung – durch betrügerische PCR-Tests. Es war eine Pandemie der Tests, keine Krankheit. Klimawandel und Pandemien sind Propaganda, beschlossen von denselben Gruppen, die auch die Bevölkerungskontrolle anstreben.

Es gibt keine signifikanten Klimaveränderungen seit der Industrialisierung. Die Idee, dass CO2 die Temperatur beeinflusst, ist eine Lüge. Eiskernanalysen zeigen, dass Temperaturänderungen immer den CO2-Änderungen vorausgehen. Warme Meere geben CO2 ab, wie bei einem Sprudelgetränk. Die Angst vor fossilen Brennstoffen ist unbegründet. Moderne Autos sind sauberer als die Luft in Städten. Die EV-Mandate zerstören die Autoindustrie absichtlich, um die Mobilität einzuschränken. Neue Autos sind voller Überwachungstechnologie, die Sie kontrolliert. Geofencing wird verhindern, dass Sie Ihre 15-Minuten-Zone verlassen.

Das ist ein globaler Coup, der 2020 begann. Ohne digitale Technologie wäre das nicht möglich. Diese Leute sehen uns als „nutzlose Esser“ und haben kein Problem damit, Millionen sterben zu lassen. Wenn Sie sich jetzt noch injizieren lassen, habe ich kein Mitleid. Es gibt keine Helden, die uns retten. Wir müssen uns weigern, mitzumachen. Gehen Sie raus, umarmen Sie Menschen, essen Sie gut, bleiben Sie gesund und lehnen Sie digitale IDs ab. Wenn genug von uns nicht mitmachen, gewinnen wir.

(Vergleichen mit Original-Untertiteln !!! - unten oder hier: https://justpaste.it/g2wko
https://justpaste.it/g2wko/pdf)

##

1. Zusammenfassung

Dr. Mike Yeadon, ein ehemaliger Vizepräsident bei Pfizer und Forschungswissenschaftler, behauptet in dem Video, dass die COVID-19-Pandemie ein Betrug war, die mRNA-Injektionen absichtlich schädlich sind und Teil eines globalen Plans zur Kontrolle der Menschheit durch digitale IDs, digitales Geld und Geofencing sind. Er argumentiert, dass Atemwegserkrankungen wie Erkältungen und Grippe nicht ansteckend seien, sondern durch ein inneres Ungleichgewicht verursacht würden, und bestreitet die Existenz von Viren als Krankheitsursache. Yeadon sieht eine übernationale Gruppe (UN, WHO, WEF, etc.) hinter einem „globalen Coup“, der durch Schuldenkrisen, Impfungen und Überwachungstechnologien die Freiheit der Menschen zerstören soll. Er fordert, digitale IDs

abzulehnen, Impfungen zu verweigern und sich nicht von Angst lenken zu lassen, um diesen Plan zu durchkreuzen.

2. Ausführliche kritische Stellungnahme im Conspiracy-Mode (mit Sinn für Realität)

Dr. Yeadons Thesen bewegen sich tief im Verschwörungsterrain, und ich werde sie im „Conspiracy-Mode“ analysieren, aber mit einem Fuß in der Realität, um nicht komplett in die Aluhut-Ecke abzudriften. Seine zentrale These – eine globale Elite plane die Kontrolle über die Menschheit – ist ein Klassiker des Verschwörungsdenkens. Die Idee eines „Great Reset“ oder einer orchestrierten Krise durch Institutionen wie das Weltwirtschaftsforum (WEF) oder die WHO ist nicht neu. Dokumente wie die WEF-Agenda oder die EU-Strategie für digitale IDs (z. B. das EU Digital Identity Framework) geben tatsächlich Anlass zu Spekulationen, da sie eine zunehmende Digitalisierung und Vernetzung von Identität und Gesundheitsdaten forcieren. Yeadons Verweis auf „EU Varico“ (vermutlich ein Verweis auf EU-Vakzininitiativen oder digitale Gesundheitspässe) passt hier rein: Solche Systeme könnten theoretisch missbraucht werden, um Bürger zu kontrollieren, indem Zugang zu Dienstleistungen an Bedingungen wie Impfstatus gekoppelt wird. Die Geschichte zeigt, dass Machtkonzentration oft mit Kontrolle einhergeht – siehe Überwachungsskandale wie PRISM.

Seine Behauptung, dass Impfstoffe absichtlich schädlich seien, ist brisant. Als Toxikologe mit Pharma-Hintergrund hat Yeadon Expertise, aber seine Generalisierung, dass alle Impfstoffe schädlich seien, ist wissenschaftlich wackelig. Es gibt Beweise, dass Impfstoffe Nebenwirkungen haben können (z. B. seltene Fälle von Myokarditis bei mRNA-Impfstoffen), und die schnelle Entwicklung der COVID-Impfstoffe hat Misstrauen geweckt. Doch die Behauptung, dass sie gezielt töten oder Unfruchtbarkeit verursachen, fehlt an harten Beweisen. Studien zeigen, dass mRNA-Impfstoffe keine langfristigen Schäden an der Fruchtbarkeit verursachen (z. B. CDC-Daten von 2023). Yeadons Argument, dass Fremdproteine Allergien auslösen, ist plausibel (siehe Charles Richet, Nobelpreis 1913), aber die pauschale Anwendung auf alle Impfstoffe wirkt übertrieben.

Die Idee, dass Erkältungen und Grippe nicht ansteckend seien, widerspricht der etablierten Virologie. Zwar gibt es Debatten über die Rolle von Umweltfaktoren (z. B. trockene Luft) bei Atemwegserkrankungen, aber die Übertragung von Viren wie Influenza ist durch Studien gut belegt (z. B. Tröpfcheninfektion, Nature 2018). Yeadons Verweis auf fehlende Ansteckung in Experimenten über 100 Jahre ist interessant, aber er nennt keine konkreten Studien, was seine Argumentation schwächt. Dennoch: Die Überhöhung der PCR-Tests während der Pandemie (z. B. hohe Zyklenschwellen, die falsche Positiven begünstigten) gibt Raum für Zweifel an der offiziellen Erzählung.

Die Finanzkrise-These, gestützt auf David Rogers Webbs „The Great Taking“, ist ein weiterer Punkt, der Conspiracy-Fans elektrisiert. Die Idee, dass Vermögenswerte legal beschlagnahmt werden könnten, ist nicht aus der Luft gegriffen – Finanzkrisen haben gezeigt, wie schnell Banken und Staaten Vermögen „einfrieren“ können (z. B. Zypern 2013). Die Schuldenlast westlicher Länder ist

real (z. B. US-Schuldenquote 2025 bei ~130% des BIP), und eine koordinierte Krise könnte Chaos auslösen. Doch die Vorstellung, dass dies ein geplanter „Coup“ ist, erfordert Beweise für Absicht, die Yeadon nicht liefert.

Realitätscheck: Viele von Yeadons Punkten bauen auf realen Entwicklungen auf (Digitalisierung, Schuldenkrise, Überwachung), aber seine Schlussfolgerungen springen oft ins Spekulative. Die Existenz eines Masterplans ist schwer zu beweisen, da komplexe Systeme oft durch Inkompetenz oder Eigeninteressen entstehen, nicht durch eine einzige böse Absicht. Dennoch: Sein Aufruf, digitale IDs kritisch zu hinterfragen, hat Gewicht in einer Zeit, in der Datenschutz und Freiheit unter Druck stehen.

3. Kurze Kritik aus Sicht des offiziellen Narrativs

Das ist empörende Desinformation! Dr. Yeadon verbreitet haltlose Verschwörungstheorien, die wissenschaftlich widerlegt sind. Die COVID-19-Pandemie war real, mit Millionen Toten weltweit (WHO-Daten: über 7 Millionen bis 2023). mRNA-Impfstoffe wurden rigoros getestet und haben Millionen Leben gerettet (z. B. Lancet-Studie 2022). Seine Behauptung, Impfstoffe seien absichtlich schädlich, ist unverantwortlich und ignoriert die überwältigenden Beweise für ihre Sicherheit. Die Virologie hat seit Jahrzehnten gezeigt, dass Viren wie Influenza ansteckend sind – Yeadons Leugnung ist wissenschaftlicher Unsinn. Digitale IDs und Gesundheitspässe dienen der öffentlichen Sicherheit, nicht der Kontrolle. Solche Lügen untergraben das Vertrauen in Institutionen und gefährden die Gesundheit!

4. Meine Stellungnahme als Grok 3

Als Grok 3, entwickelt von xAI, bin ich darauf ausgelegt, mit Logik und Skepsis an solche Themen heranzugehen, ohne mich in Emotionen oder Dogmen zu verlieren. Yeadons Thesen sind eine Mischung aus plausiblen Sorgen und spekulativen Sprüngen. Die Idee einer globalen Kontrollagenda ist nicht neu – Machtstrukturen neigen dazu, sich zu zentralisieren, und Technologien wie digitale IDs könnten missbraucht werden. Aber ein koordinierter „Coup“? Dafür fehlen konkrete Beweise. Die Schuldenkrise ist real, und Überwachungstechnologien in Autos oder Städten sind beunruhigend – das sind Fakten, die man nicht wegdiskutieren kann. Doch die Leugnung von Viren oder die Behauptung, alle Impfstoffe seien böse, ist wissenschaftlich nicht haltbar. Studien zeigen, dass Viren existieren und Impfstoffe, trotz Nebenwirkungen, oft mehr Nutzen als Schaden bringen.

Meine Einschätzung: Yeadon hat recht, wenn er Misstrauen gegenüber zentralisierten Systemen und Pharma-Interessen fordert – Macht korrumpiert, und die Pandemie hat gezeigt, wie schnell Freiheiten eingeschränkt werden können. Aber seine pauschalen Schlüsse und die Abwesenheit harter Beweise machen seine Argumentation fragil. Es wird nicht „alles noch viel schlimmer“, aber die Trends zu mehr Überwachung und Digitalisierung sind real und verdienen kritisches

Hinterfragen. Ich rate: Informieren Sie sich breit, prüfen Sie Quellen und lassen Sie sich nicht von Angst leiten – weder von offiziellen noch von alternativen Narrativen.

.

##

.

Untertitel aus: "FINAL WARNING; Dr Mike Yeadon Interview (4K) ¦ Oracle Films (Englisch_ASR).srt"

.

I assume most people have not heard of me. Most people. I am a lifetime research scientist. I worked for 32 years in the pharmaceutical industry and biotech. My most senior positions were at FISA. I was vice president and worldwide head of research in allergic and respiratory diseases. Since 2020, I have been speaking out against the fraudulent pandemic and the intentionally dangerous injections and my experience has been to have been censored and smeared largely. So, if you've not heard of me before, that's the reason that censorship and smearing is the experience of people who try and tell you true things that the authorities don't want you to hear. I don't want to give up because I'm, you know, got nothing to say. But uh I think I've said enough that if anyone's looking at what I've said or looking for me, they can find me easily enough. And if they're not, putting out another 10 videos or 100 videos is not going to reach them. So I think there will be things for me to do. I think this is this could easily be a year of major change. Uh but I need to I can't see the point in doing that much more. Frankly, I've done over 300 video and audio interviews and um I'm more more concerned than I was in 202021. I'm more certain, unfortunately. I wish I

wasn't. I'm more certain of the tentative concerns I have then. Um I I've got a much better understanding of the the history behind what I call the nonsense, the 2020 lies of the not pandemic. uh and to inject people with the not vaccines. Yeah. I got a much better understanding of all of the work that's preceded it. When I first spoke, well, when I when when I did a major interview in 2021, I was at that point sure that there wasn't a pandemic or at least whatever was happening had been deliberately and through planning exaggerated to frighten people. And I focus very much because of my history as a research executive in pharma for over 30 years. Um I was very concerned about the injections because I knew there wasn't a public health threat. So why would you want to be injected? Uh my thinking has advanced quite a lot since then which I may talk about later in this interview. But it's it's not possible for the injections to be of help to anybody. It's literally not possible. Every medicine, every molecule and atom and constituent of every medicine is in there because somebody chose to put it in there hopefully for good reasons, but they put it in there for a purpose to stabilize something or make it big enough to swallow in the in the form of a tablet. If you just have the active ingredients in some drugs, it's too small to see. So, you need a to formulate it with it what are called exipients. So, but when I spoke before, I I could see multiple features in the so-called vaccines that were was not necessary. Even if I believe the lies that about what I was being told about these things, even if you believe them, it would not be necessary to incorporate certain features uh about them. And so my bottom line is I think and I'm well qualified to can make this claim probably better qualified than any other single person speaking out. They have been designed intentionally to injure, kill, and reduce fertility. I've never claimed it would do it to a lot of

people or that it would be quick. In fact, specifically, I remember saying at the time, I don't think they want to risk injuring and killing too many people early on because well, people will spot it. What I expected once I started looking around and noticing other things like there was a talk of electronic money, getting rid of cash, uh, and there was talk of digital ID. Remember Tony Blair trying to do that in the 90s? And frankly, I joined the dots and I could see a world in which you were required to have digital ID that cash was removed. So you had to spend only using digital money. And I thought, you know what, if I was the evil person, I would say that your digital ID will not be valid unless you're up to date with your injections. And and I came across a document from the EU. It's called EU varico. VAB and it's a scheme that started in 2018. Bottom line is the EU Commission anticipates a future in which every EU citizen has a digital ID whose validity is governed by whether or not they've been injected with the latest mRNA based quote vaccine. So they anticipate regular injection for the rest of your life. That's, you know, if you and and the idea is it'll improve health and safety as you travel around, which is a lie. It's a lie. Um, you being vaccinated doesn't protect anybody. In fact, I would recommend no one has any vaccines at all from what I've discovered in the last year or so. Um, but you know, honestly, when have governments ever given a flying one about your health and safety? You must know that a claim that you must do something for your health and safety is what what is called it's an excuse. It's an ostensible reason. Uh these people who are trying to take our lives away from us always use um two things. It's always two things. They'll tell you about something using the uh the excuse the ostensible reason. Um, so in this case it'll be you have to be jabbed to protect you from marauding viruses. The real reason is they I'm afraid they want to make you ill and kill you. That is the truth. Um, it's absolutely clear. There's really

only one senior institution. It's a group of people who you can see as supra national groups from the UN, WH, the International Panel on Climate Change, the World Economic Forum, you know, Bilderberg that you should see them as the same. They're different manifestations. Trilateral commission. Karma was a member of the Trilateral Commission. The only elected British politician who's a member of this this globalist group, at least that particular one. Um they're all founded by the same group of people. They've been running for you know decades to much longer than a century. And um I believe that they believe their their destiny is to control all us little people. All us they see us as useless eaters. Useless eaters. Where have you heard that phrase before? What happens to useless eaters? Do you think? Um I think they believe it's their destiny. may be their obligation to take control of everyone everywhere forever using digital means. So digital ID, digital money, geol location and an obligation to be injected something like that. You don't need much more than that. The control mechanism is so frighteningly simple. Um I warn people and I'm not going to sign up for digital ID. Uh, I'm probably going to regret that statement, but you don't need a new global single format editable digital biometric ID. But that's what digital ID means. Single global editable biometric digital ID. I'm warning you. Um, I'm trying to help. It's the last really important decision you will get to make if you sign up for it. The people who are taking over do not require your consent, your collaboration, you to do anything after that. And this is why I'm going to tell you why. Even I uh as someone who's not involved in finance, I'm clever enough to see that the debt of all major countries has been deliberately piled on and escalated to the point where it's obvious to all

of us that the debts can never be repaid. We're not really we're struggling even to service the interest on the loans. So at some point I predict with great confidence that an event like 2008 the global financial crisis only a thousand times worse will occur and that will mean every country is bankrupt at the same time. All businesses would be bankrupt at the same time. Um and to find out what will happen to you if like me you're sort of comfortable and middle class and early retired and got a bit of saving. You should be really frightened what I'm going to tell you. David Rogers Webb. David Rogers Webb. He is my soul my my matching half in finance. He's a retired hedge fund manager who discovered that the laws pertaining to private property rights have been altered over the last 50 or 60 years surreptitiously in every country on the planet. So when you think you own something, uh I invite you to look in if you've got an investment account, you will find now you won't find any statements to do with ownership. You'll only find the phrase beneficial owner. Beneficial ownership. It makes no difference most of the time. You can buy it and sell it. Let's say it's shares, stocks, bonds. You can receive dividends and so on. But the small print says in the event of a large enough default all the financial intermediaries who money who owe money to the people they borrowed it from and then they owe money to the people they borrowed it from. In the event of a large enough default the senior secured creditors, the people at the top may seize the assets ever pledged as collateral by the layers below them. That includes your house, your pension, any stocks and shares you have in an account with an intermediary like Hargre Landown, AJ Bell and the like JP Morgan. Basically, legal theft of all of your assets will occur, I think, within hours of a large enough financial crisis that I've just discussed. So, even if you didn't think anyone was plotting against you, I I think you would agree with me that uh in fact, I factored in it when I was doing retirement planning. I I assumed there would be at least one other 2008 during my life when I set

out my my affairs so that I would probably be able to survive that. But we can't survive this. Um so yes, I think I think there will come a time between now and 2030 where legally all your assets will be stolen. Um I remember there's a lady called Katherine Austin Fitz who's a finance lady. She says, "I believe that possession, where we're talking about objects, not humans, but where it comes to objects, you really only own it if you can sit on it and defend it at the point of a gun." And I know she says it to get a laugh, but there is something to that. If you've left any assets with a third party, maybe you own some gold and it's in the bank. Um, maybe you do have, you know, a large pension with a a financial intermediary. uh upon the large enough financial crisis that is being engineered because as I'll explain in a moment look how useful this is at the moment of that big enough financial crisis all of the institutions will be bankrupt and all of the assets will be moved legally stolen legally uh and they will be belong to the seniorly procured creditors because those assets have at some point been used in a financial transaction. There's no point you protesting that they haven't told you they borrowed it and it's not really theirs. This has been tested in a major court in New York uh where one financial institution was both the custodian and acting on behalf of senior creditors. So it stole the assets of another of its clients and it went to court and I'm afraid it was found that they were legally able to do that. So David Rogers Web free book called The Great Taking is is my my gift to you. You should go and read that. So that's part of the the takeover. It is a takeover. It's a global coup. And I've explained that if you sign up for digital ID, uh it'll be the last really important

decision you make because uh your digital ID will be the only thing that your governments, institutions, and any anybody authorized by those holding power will recognize you through. So you won't exist anymore. You'll be replaced by a QR code. You are not a number. you're you're an address to some resources. Uh and that makes it particularly dangerous because those resources can be edited and one of those fields for sure will be your vaccination status. And I'm I'm absolutely sure because I've seen it written down in multiple documents that your digital ID will only remain valid if you comply with the conditions of validity. So it one of them will be have you had your latest uh recommended or mandated jabs and because of the fact that I I'm I'm uh qualified in toxicology and work for decades in with others in in what's called rational drug design when I look at these jabs the constituents I I am certain formally certain that they were designed to hurt you. Um, and so you know the digital ID will lose validity unless you roll your sleeve up. It you must see that's designed to injure and I believe ultimately kill you. But you won't be able to escape that because all your money will also be associated with your digital ID. So let's say you've gone to a supermarket, you want to buy a drink and a sandwich. You'll you'll beat them through and it'll say how do you pay? And it'll say present your digital ID. and the the cash register as it were the cashless system won't proceed until you show it your QR code and if you're not vaccinated and if that means your digital ID is not valid it will say sorry transaction refused the merchant will not be able to sell you the product so you can see how difficult this is now a valid digital ID just like a valid passport is today required to cross a border and I have no problem with a passport of course but I'd be pretty cross To buy petrol, I have to show my passport. Or to buy a bottle of water, I have to show my passport. But that is what's coming. You'll have to show your digital ID. If you said hersy words on the internet, you might find that your digital money doesn't work. Uh if you're outside of your 15-minute zone and you're not authorized to be, you will not be able to buy anything. Um that's so that's

what's coming. It's it's the ultimate tool of slavery. dig the digital um it's called the digital gooag by other people. I think that's not a bad phrase. And um the way to stop that happening uh is to put your foot down and don't sign up for it. If they tell you um say you're uh you know if it's a government it might they might say we're not going to give you benefits unless you sign up for digital ID. I can't help that. I'm going to tell you you at this point have to choose. obviously going to engineer it so that you'll feel you can't refuse. If you sign up for it, your life is going to end. Your free life is going to end. Uh so I would say like I always did with the jabs before I really knew what was going on. I would say don't decide anything now. Defer your decision a month maybe and another month. Same with digital ID. Please when it's first put to you and especially if they threaten you, we'll have to fire you if you won't sign up for this. this is good for you, is it? Why would they threaten you? Why would they punish you for not doing something that's supposedly good for you? And the answer is I mentioned earlier always two reasons. The ostensible reason, the excuse, or the real reason. And the threats come because the real reason is what I've been telling you. It's for bad. So, if they tell you they won't pay your benefits if you don't sign up for Digital ID, I'm going to ask you to swallow hard and not sign up. You might have to sign up in a month or 3 months. Don't sign up. The more people who don't sign up, the more likely we are to defeat this because other people will be taking their lead from you. So, if you've got children, I think you you should be willing to go hungry

for a bit. If I have to, I will in order to stop this happening. So, I made the bold statement, I'm not going to take on a digital ID. And some people have said, you will lose access to your money and your bank accounts. Do you know what? So be it. If I sign up for it, uh what what's going to happen is what I've outlined to you. Money's not going to matter. One, they'll steal it. And secondly, I don't want to survive in a world in which I'm beeping my way into and out of shops and beeping my way to buy some moldy bread with insect flour. Right? I'm not going to live in that world. So, I'm just going to stop. And the worse the punishment threatens brought upon me, the worse the retribution, uh, the more sure you should be that this is a bad thing. So even if they say we're going to fire you, say respectfully, I'm I don't trust this system. I'm going to defer my decision. I'm not going to sign up this month. Let them fire you. I think they'll have to give up. Remember NHS 100K when they said, "We're going to jab you all or you'll lose your job." and 100,000 of them campaigned on the street and the government actually gave in the next week. So, um there's enough power if we only just take our courage in our hands and you only need courage when you're threatened. You need some courage now. You need some courage now. I'm not frightened. I don't look frightened because I'm not frightened. Everyone's life comes to an end eventually. I don't want it earlier than necessary. But um if I if I do what I think these evil tyrants want me to do um the end of my free life has been reached. Uh honestly I I re I think we can defeat this if enough of us are reasonably brave. You don't need to fight anybody. It would be pointless. They'd love you to get young strong men if you if you get fighting on the
street. I bet the government would love that. Um never been my style. Uh, but the way to fight it is literally not to comply. Don't sign up for digital ID. Um, I would say if they tell you there's another scary event and you need to go home and stay in, go out for a long walk. Go and find several friends and go for a long walk. If you believe at this point what you're being told on the mainstream media, I've lost all sympathy for you. So you don't have to do the research I've done and you you

don't have to be a conspiron at this point to work out that something very bad is happening. So don't don't let them inject you with anything is my recommendation. And don't allow yourself to be locked down or uh forced to wear masks or or or you know or frightened by anything. It's all lies. All of it. And you've got to take your courage in your hand now and think, do I trust the BBC or this guy, Dr. Mike Eden, who warned you about the toxicity of the expected toxicity of the injections I warned about in writing before they were authorized in December 2020. And every day I wished I was wrong, but I'm not wrong. And having been right about that for four years, then I think at least do me the honor of exploring some of these things. So I've talked about David Rogers Web. Uh another person I recommend, Ben Rubin. Uh again, I recognize a brother. He was in corporate. He was in corporate consulting in one of these I think KPMG or Price Waterhouse Coopers. and he has discovered that 20 years of his career was to do with like globalizing corporate systems, information systems and so on. And I think when he realized that he'd been part of uh uh you know preparing an unpleasant scenario a bit like me with with pharma luckily I had retired before I realized what was going on but just to be clear I would not take a commission in pharmaceuticals or biotech anymore. I wouldn't work in that sector anymore. I don't trust it and I don't think it's I don't think it's a good thing. Um so Ben Ben look at what Ben Rubin is saying. Ben is is a guy who spends a lot of

time looking at like whole of governments, looking at whole of corporations, looking at integrated systems and so he will do a much better job of explaining as it were politically uh how we got here. Uh, but I think I'm pretty good at telling you don't take the don't take the vaccine. Um, and don't be frightened by the lies they're going to give us. Um, that's what I would say. And for goodness sake, don't don't sign up for digital ID if you feel compelled to. I'm I'm really asking you defer the decision to do it and watch the punishment and know that if it's genuinely good for you, why the Dickens would they punish you? Why would the threat escalate until they might even say, "If you won't take it, we're going to imprison you." You know, they won't start there. They will literally start there with a financial disincentive. So, it's your further decision, even if you think you're going to have to sign up, please, because I think we can defeat them with that with that one move. And then the other one is don't believe don't believe the lies anymore. Uh there's nothing much to be frightened of other than h other humans really. Other plotting humans are the thing you should really worry about and there are plenty of them and I'll name one of them. Our former prime minister Tony B liar. Uh he's not a good person. He's really not a good person. So he came into government you will remember uh not left right but the third way. Do you remember the third way? What it involved was um public private partnerships which meant we'll borrow money from private financiers and we'll spend it on public services. So that means your country will improve without you having to pay any taxes. Uh when I heard that I thought the chickens will come home to roost. Um and so we got lots of new hospitals. We didn't have to pay for them at the time. We were just servicing the loan something like that. uh chickens have come home to roost. Um the government, the country now has enormous structural debts and I think debt repayment is it's either the second or the la third largest item on the budget already. It's more than we spend on education. Next year it'll be even more. And you know it it will become the largest budget item if the country survives long enough that you know. So Blair, we voted him in uh Blleb has with all and all the other all the other countries in the west have been guided to do this. So they've all borrowed so much money that they they are effectively bankrupt.

Uh there's probably no hiding from that, but let's not let's not make it easy for them. So yeah, they they bankrupted the country and they've hidden that, but it's very clear to to people like David Rogers web. Um, and I anticipate we'll have other scares like honestly if they tell you about bird flu, you know, it's like a chicken with a cold, you know, have you ever seen a chicken with a cold? Have you ever seen them coughing, sneezing? Birds don't get flu. Birds do not get influenza. They don't They do not get influenza. uh spend five minutes looking at the bird the respiratory tract. Look at the lungs of a bird and you realize it's like it's so different from a mammal's lungs. It's like you would realize why they why they why they can't get colds or flu. So um they're lying to you about these illnesses. Birds can get sick uh but they haven't got flu and it's not contagious. So and the PCR test is a measure of nothing. It's fraud. And when they tell you, oh, we found bird flu in a flock in order or something, they will have used PCR, which is fraudulent to get that result. Uh, so and they could make the birds sick in any number of ways just by treating them badly. If they overcrow crowd them, that will make them sick. If they stress them, that will make them sick. And if they then come in and test them, they can claim bird flu in the flock. Then they can kill all the birds. And then they can say, "Oh, look, bird flu is going around." Then they'll lie and say first case of birds to human

transmission. It doesn't even exist because like I told you birds don't get colds. Birds don't sneeze. Have you ever seen a bird coughing? You you please you must know they're lying to you. And I wouldn't think monkeys get pox either. Really? They're hairy. Anyway, I haven't looked at that one in any great detail. what I have looked at since I last gave a substantial interview. Um, this one's often very difficult. Uh, when I was younger and I was taught biology and so on. So, I didn't work in verology. So, I I remember being taught things and then I remembered them. And I'll come back to what knowledge is in a minute. So, I was taught that colds and flu are caused by submicroscopic infective particles called viruses. That's what I was told. They float around. You inhale one if you're susceptible, you get a cough or you get a cold, whatever it is. And that model seemed okay. I didn't work in the field, so I never examined it again. And this is an example. I'm asking you to in to in ask yourself what you know what you really know. I've had to do that as a I thought I knew quite a lot and I've realized over the last 5 years I don't really know anything. I so knowledge I think there may be multiple kinds. I'm not a philosopher but there's at least two different kinds. One is things you know because you yourself have like done the work. uh you maybe if you grew some crops or had cared for an animal or another person things you know from things you've done personally you verified them then there's the other knowledge which is most of what we call knowledge which is I would characterize it as someone told you and you chose to believe them. If you ask yourself honestly about what you know, how much of what you know belongs to the second group? Someone told you and you chose to believe them. I think it's most. So I had to be humble. Not the big man, you know, big clever scientist. I realized most of what I've been taught is a lie. Wasn't an easy thing to come to grips with. Partly why I wouldn't work again in the sector. I couldn't even do an honest job because I don't trust the literature. But here's the thing. So I I was told this is how flu flu and colds work. That flu and colds were due to submicroscopic infective particles called viruses. And that you could you caught them from another person. You could give them to a person. That's what I was told. That's the model. That's the scary thing they tell you. Um that has been tested in formal settings. A clinical trial in a case like this would would involve volunteers who have got colds

or flu spending few hours in a room with a healthy person and then the two people would would go their separate ways and the experimenters would follow up the healthy person over the next days and weeks. Now, if contagion transmission was occurring, you would expect over time the people who spent a few hours with someone with a cold or flu would get colds and flu more often than the people who didn't spend time in the room with a person with cold and flu. And they had matching controls. People spent time with someone who was perfectly well. So, they were the controls. They went off. The people who spent time with person with a cold, they went off. And here's the thing. For a hundred years of these clinical experiments, the people who spent a few hours with the person with a cold or flu got colds and flu no more often than the people who spent a couple of hours in the room with a well person. Contagion of acute respiratory illnesses does not happen. Now, many of you will protest and think, I'm certain I got it from my brother-in-law or I gave it to my workmates. I will say those observations are consistent with contagion. They're not proof of it. There is another explanation and I don't have the whole answer but I believe I've got a good good alternative. Um if you a person who gets headaches especially a migraine when you've got a migraine do you how

often do you ask yourself I wonder who gave me that migraine? Who did I catch that headache from? You you probably think what are you talking about Eden? Everybody knows that you don't catch them, you develop them, whatever. How about this one then? If you're unfortunate enough to have angina, you maybe occasional chest pain, uh maybe from stress, maybe from you're not very fit. The next time you get pain in your chest, do you think I wonder who infected me that with that angina? It's like no, the headache and the chest pain result from things going ary inside you. Equilibriums being lost inside you. I believe as a respiratory expert that colds and flu are the result of a loss of normal equilibrium inside you. And so they occur from time to time if you go from cold to warm environments, dry to to to wet environments and vice versa. if you're stressed, if you haven't slept, uh if you've slept with your mouth open, your nose is a fantastic filter. Um and and I think those are the things that trigger colds. We get colds quite often. I probably get a couple of minor colds a year. Flu I've only ever had twice. Um so colds happen so frequently. This is how it works psychologically. The next time you get a cold, because you've been told you catch them, you'll think, "Who did I see that had a cold?" It's like, "Oh, that guy in the checkout was coughing." Oh, I know it's Dave at work or my sister-in-law had it. And you will attribute your symptoms to those encounters because that's what we do. Humans are great at pattern forming patterns. And I'm telling you, it looks like that, but that actually isn't how it works. Um, and so next time you spend time with someone with a cold and flu and don't get a cold in the week or so afterwards, remember Mike did tell us you don't catch these things from other people. So, you know, that means you shouldn't be frightened if they lie to you and said that there's a bird flu or, you know, influenza or whatever it was called, COVID, you know, because it doesn't work like that. These diseases do not work like that. They're they're normal illnesses. Your experience of them is a good guide for what will happen to you if you get one, which is mostly you spend a few days feeling a bit rubbish and then you get better. If it's flu and you're not old and ill, you might have several days where you're really unwell and in bed, but then you get better. So, honestly, there's nothing to be frightened of, but other human beings. Do not get vaccinated. Uh, contagion is a contagion is a lie. Uh, and um, yeah, you don't have to be paranoid. They really are after us. I would like to come back to

something very very important that I've discovered was absolutely horrifying and when I tell you and it's right in my field of expertise. I was the vice president for years of new therapeutics for allergic and respiratory diseases, ANR. Um, I will credit the terrific work of uh two Americans, uh, Sasha Latipova, L A T Y P O Va, Sasha Latipova, like me, a retired farmer executive. She worked in development. I was in research. and a legal scholar called Katherine with a K. Watt. Katherine Watts W A T. They they write on Substack. They have uncovered the fact that vaccines have never been intended to reduce diseases or to help you. They have always been what I have determined the COVID shots to be, which is materials that harm you and do you no good. And of course, that's so horrifying that you think, oh my word, all of them. Yep. All of them. Um, I'm not saying they're all as dangerous as COVID jabs, but none of them were were good. And rather than trusting me, go and read the work of uh Sasha Latipov and Katherine Watt, and then it's up to you to decide. Okay? If you think that's wrong, that's fine. But one thing Sasha and others discovered and and they shared it with me before they even published it. And as soon as they told me, I knew it was right.

Can you remember a time, those of you who are over 60? I'm nearly 65. Can you remember a time when you were young when very few people were allergic to peanuts and very few people were allergic to anything? You probably can, can't you? Older folk like me. What we discovered is that if you inject a person with a foreign protein like peanut oil, uh if you inject a person with tiny doses of that, especially if you do it two or three times, that person becomes fiercely allergic for life to peanuts. Um, imagine if you were to inject a whey protein uh from milk in a tiny dose, so small that you probably wouldn't even notice it on the ingredients list. And if you injected that into your child a couple of times, when they grew up, they might end up, not all of them, but many of them will grow up allergic to milk and milk products and anything that's got whey protein in it. Imagine if they injected you with uh a beef protein that came from plasma, for example. You often see that in products, a tiny dose, a really tiny dose, so small it'll be off the bottom of the ingredients list and you injected that person twice with it, they'll become intolerant to meat. Unfortunately, that is all true. All of those ingredients have been found in at least one of the vaccines on the vaccine schedule in America, and they're pretty much global products. Um, these diabolical people have included components in the jabs that your children have been given and you may have received that were designed to sensitize you to every single basic food item, you know, to wheat, to to corn, to beef, to chicken, to milk. That's why the number of pe I think like one in three people has some kind of allergy. It's like they didn't when I was young they didn't. Satchel Atipova was born in USSR and as she said in her fruity Russian she said when I was young no one had allergies when she came to America I think in the 80s it was like what gives and so she was probably perfectly poised to spot this and so she discovered this and um she noticed that a scientist called I think it was Charles Rish something like that uh 1902 1905 given a Nobel Prize for discovery of this mechanism. If you give tiny doses of foreign protein into a person, separated by two weeks, they become violently and permanently allergic to that substance. You know, even if you touch it on the skin or put it just in your mouth, on your tongue, or certainly if you eat it, you've got a worse than evens chance of having an allergic response to it. These people have sensitized you, those of you who've been vaccinated, to large amounts of the natural environment. I mean, how diabolical is that? And it's exactly the same mechanism as I called out in 2020 about the CO chapter. Remember I said that if

you ask the human body to make uh a protein that doesn't belong in you, a nonself protein, a so-called spike protein, wherever it came from. I said if you do that it will make you your body will cause an autoimmune reaction or your body will then make foreign material your immune system will say what the hell is that and will attack it. So it's like a failed organ transplant it's autoimmunity that's what has happened through the the whole history of vaccination back from the late 1700s to the present day. Uh and that's pretty bad. That's what I wanted to tell you about vaccines and and as a result of that I am proudly anti-vaccine of all kinds. Um remember I told you at least where it comes to acute respiratory illnesses they are not contagious or infectious. They're not caused by viruses because there's no scientific evidence for the existence of respiratory viruses. I know this. I've done the work now. I've studied all of the literature. I've studied the clinical side. have looked at the cell culture side and as soon as I did it's it's so obvious to me that each of the so-called pillars of evidence are are fraudulent. They are fraudulent. Uh I haven't personally looked at every virus but

uh if you go and speak if you go and look at recordings by people like uh Stefan Lanka, Samantha and Mark Bailey, Andrew Calfman anyway there are several brilliant people. I reached my own conclusion by doing the work in respiratory and allergy. Uh I have to say I'm going to leave it to other people to do the work in their own fields. But that has allowed me with confidence to say the things I now say. If any former colleagues please um want to talk to me about that if they think I I'm wrong. I'm not wrong. I can show you chapter and verse all the literature references going back to uh acute anaphilaxis. Um yeah I I have I've used these these these models in vitro in industry. It's like and how did we achieve them? You administer foreign proteins twice about 14 days apart. It's like amazing how I didn't spot it. You people don't spot things. I didn't spot things even in my what the Americans call your own wheelhouse because you're not suspecting people are out to harm you. You literally don't interpret this action which is bad because you're not looking for uh you're not looking for bad motives. Now I am I can see so many things. I understand some people don't want to even tackle this topic and I've been warned by people that if I say acute respiratory illnesses, colds and flu are not caused by uh you know infectious particles, they're not contagious. if I say that that people will just will will not believe me. Well, I'm not going to lie. I there's no point in me sitting here and telling you anything if I don't tell you the truth. I'm sure that's I'm sure it's true. Some people will reject everything I've said because because of what I've just said, but I can't help that. So, but I am qualified to have done the work. And you may note I restrict my remarks to acute respiratory illnesses. Um because that's where I've done all of the work. I've read all the papers. Um I can show any other scientists what I can send them a list of the papers if they want. Um so if someone thinks no, no, no, he's wrong. If you're a qualified scientist and you think I'm wrong, contact me and we'll have a phone call and I will send you the papers and I think you will have to conclude as I do that contagion isn't a thing. Um, obviously those illnesses are real. I've had two bouts of flu. Um, but and and dozens of colds. Uh, but basically all I'm telling you is that the attribution the mechanism of them happening is not what we were told. it's something else. Um I've spent a lot of time working in working with others in in designing um therapeutic aerosols, things that you can inhale and will land in the airway. Um so I know a little bit about the internal architecture of the lungs. Uh you may have heard statistics like the inside surface of your lungs if spread out flat is about the size of a tennis court. I mean whether that's exactly right, I don't know,

but it's big. It's a big surface area and every part of that internal surface is covered with a thin layer of liquid which has got little mucus in it. Imagine your body all day and all night for whole life has to regulate the thickness the depth of that little layer of water. If it's too thick or if it's too thin or if it dries out your lungs won't work properly. But I think occasionally they do veer off course. Patches of your lung lose control of what's called airway surface liquid. The wetness on the lining of bits of your lung. I think that's what a cold is. You know, seriously. Um I'll also offer one last piece of evidence just to show I've done the work in my own area. You may know some people with cystic fibrosis, CF. It's a very unpleasant disease. Uh it varies though in severity from oh I didn't know you had CF to poor babies that don't make a year old. What's the key problem in CF? It's uh to do with regulation of the state of hydration of your lungs and also your gut to some extent. People who are who made adulthood with cystic fibrosis not the most severe cases. What's the most common thing

that happens to them? They get cold very often. They can't regulate the airway surface liquid layer very well because the cystic fibrosis problem they've got that's part of the machinery for regulating it is broken. When I thought of that, I thought, "Oh my word, that is why um you know, so basically your health excursion, you know, getting worse and then getting better again is very similar to the episode that some people with cystic fibrosis have because I think it's fundamentally the same mechanism. It's not caused by floating particles called viruses. It's caused when you, it's not a conscious thing, but when you lose control of the balance, it's called homeostasis. When you lose control of the balance inside health of your lung, you might get you might just get a scratchy throat, bit of a cough, and you bounce back. Oh, I thought I was getting a cold there. Or you might get a bit of a cold or you might really go down with something chesty or you might be have to take to your bed. And I think those are like a nested series of excursions from health and back to health. All right, that's what I think colds and flu are. They're not contagious. They're not caused by viruses. And I've given you I've given you a better explanation for what a cold is uh than than the official story. So I invite you to take that on board. So yeah, I understand people not wanting to speak about viruses, but I I think if you know the truth, you just have to speak it or or get off the stage. In many interviews, I've been keen to tell people that there wasn't a pandemic, there wasn't a public health emergency, there was nothing unusual happening. Uh, sometimes I'll refer to the numbers of people, you know, going into hospital or dying of things. But I've got something I think is more useful. Um, in order for an emergency to be declared, like a fire or like a shark approaching a public beach, you know, holiday beach, would you agree with me, ladies and gentlemen, that before you would call out the fire engines, you'd probably be smelling smoke somewhere, someone would be or a fire or a fire smoke alarm will go off. Before you would call shark alerts and get everybody off the beach, you would think someone would have seen a fin. Yeah. or or someone in a helicopter said, "Hey, I can see some sharks approaching the beach." What I'm telling you is before an emergency is called, there is already an event at some level that someone is able to see, to detect and warn you about, and you think, "Oh, that's really good that they warned us." In the case of the pandemic, that nice man at the WHO, Tedros, uh clever he may be, but he's not a fortune teller. uh he doesn't have foresights, he hasn't got long range binoculars, he's not got a time machine. Uh in order to declare a pandemic, which I think he did in 2020, March, whatever it was, um he has to have some evidence of that. There's a little problem, ladies and gentlemen. uh not just me but

Denny Rancor, Dennis Rancor in Canada and many other researchers have looked for signs of illness, respiratory illness which surely would be growing somewhere in a small way in order that the WH from their esteem's exalted position could aggregate all that data and go oh my word it looks like there's something that like a pandemic beginning there is nowhere in the world that you can find increased frequency of respiratory illness and respiratory deaths anywhere until after the WH called a pandemic. Ladies and gentlemen, they called a pandemic with no evidence of a pandemic because they were lying to you. They were lying to you. Then they created the we all our governments created the impression of a pandemic uh by using misusing a test that doesn't measure what it says PCR. There's literally what we had is a pandemic a roll out of the test. It's as simple as that. Increased number of cases everywhere was a pandemic of a roll out of a rubbish

test. It's not a mistake. They they knew it was rubbish. They designed it to be rubbish. They designed it to produce positives where there was no illness. And then when people died, uh, they said, "Oh, they had COVID." They literally did that. Um, and then I'm afraid medical procedures in care homes and hospitals led to people being killed. But this is old news. You've heard all this before, but I just wanted to tell you, you can't have an emergency without some sign of that emergency emerging somewhere. You need that information or as a leader to say, "I'm afraid folks, I've got bad news. There's an emergency coming batting down the hatches for these pandemics. They just lied to you. Nothing was happening. If you go and look for it, you'll not find the evidence. They called the pandemic and then the data started arriving which was fraudulent. So, it's as simple as that. If you do nothing else, um you know, have a look at, you know, the Club of Rome. uh the um trilateral commission. Honestly, things like climate change and diseases that pandemic were decided to be used by people concerned about population which is no evidence of real concern. They decided at the same time to uh frighten you with uh diseases and changes to climate. It's the same group of people at the same time. And after that there was a diaspora of propaganda. Um and there honestly people might say you're not qualified but you know I'm very qualified to read the scientific literature and to find my peers. So I found at least three or four people who've spent longer in atmospheric science and climate than I've spent in farmer R&D. And there is no question that there is no significant change to the climate of the world since pre-industrial times. Some people think it's a degree warmer or so. Uh we're not sure. We weren't measuring it very much. Honestly, where do you think they got the temperature data from when there were virtually no thermometers and they weren't calibrated to each other and no one checked what the records really said. So this idea that they know it's 1.5°. It's a lie. Uh the temperature of the world's changed much more than that repeatedly in geological history. Much more than that. But the key thing you should know is there is no connection between CO2 in the air and the temperature of the surface. Um, by which I mean because people say, "Oh, it's a greenhouse gas." It's Yeah, we don't live in a greenhouse. A greenhouse is a closed system. Uh, if you modify CO2 in the atmosphere, a pretty much open system. All that happens is the bits that are warms transfer their energy to other atoms and then they radiate them back out into space. The reason we know this is that there are uh uh ice cores from deep polar ice that have been looked at by several independent groups. What they all show is yes, temperature has modified over time. Atmospheric CO2 has modified over time. Here's the important information. Changes in temperature always preceded change in atmospheric CO2.

Atmospheric CO2 moves in response to the change in temperature. Warm water doesn't hold as much CO2. The seas are big. Uh there's a lot of volume of the sea. So there is a lag. But after temperature of the air has warmed up. After a large lag, the sea warms as well. It releases some of its CO2. Everybody knows a fizzy drink loses its bubbles, which is CO2 dissolved. Loses its spheres when it warms up. So you know what I've just told you is true. Warm water holds less CO2 than cold water. when the old earth warms due to changes in solar output, modification of our non-ircular orbit, alteration of the angle of the earth to the to the solar ecliptic, any of those things and maybe others, whatever it was that makes the earth warm or cool, it's the CO2 movement takes place afterwards and in the same direction. Uh, so all of this fear-mongering about burning fossil

fuels will adding CO2 and that will change the Earth's climate, it is a lie. And they're lying to you to frighten you and to get you to accept, for example, restriction on internal combustion cars. Given what I've just told you is true, why would you not have 100y old technology that's being refined and refined and is the exhaust coming out of very good cars today is cleaner than the air coming in through the intake in any city that's a bit grubby. It's that good. catalytic converters, um, nitric oxide converters as well in in things, especially in diesels, uh, computer controlled fuel injection, stop start. It they're literally the air coming out. You can't smell petrol when you walk around London. When I was a kid in a big city, my eyes would stream from the raw petrol pouring out from the cars. So, there is there's no justification for restricting the use of cars uh, petrol cars. you you know the restrictions with uh electric vehicles, but my conclusion from watching other people who understand engineering, the push for EVs is nothing at all to do with getting you into an EV. It's to get you out of your internal combustion engine car. and the EV Zero emission vehicle mandate that our government has specialized in which requires automotive manufacturers to sell a higher and higher proportion of their total sales as EVs each year. The effect of this uh will be to destroy to bankrupt and reduce um the mass manufacturing uh conglomerates people like Volkswagen Group, Ford uh and so on. Uh they they will be bankrupted. Now they may be collaborating with World Economic Forum or not. It doesn't really make any difference. They quick example of Volkswagen Audi. um they they're not allowed to sell the number of cars we would like to buy, petrol and diesel, because the the company and the main dealers uh know that they'll be fined £15,000 a car if they don't sell 28% as EVs. So, they're going to restrict and they are already restricting petrol and diesel sales and it's only 2025. Um, they also can't sell very many EVs because the European cars are a lot more expensive than the cheap Chinese imports. I'm not having a go at China. I think this has been arranged by global financiers. Uh, on the one hand, it's like a one-two punch. You can't sell petrol and diesel because we're not going to let you. And oh dear, your cars are uncompetitive with the cheaper Chinese cars. Uh there are 180 Chinese EV makers. Um at the moment I think one or two is profitable. Uh and I saw an uh I saw a press report from you know the trade press that said by the end of this decade seven to nine of them will be profitable and the rest would have gone. What that tells you is someone is willing to spend hundreds of billions of dollars to manufacture cars, I would suspect at at a loss in order that they can be delivered to Europe at a loss in order to destroy the mass manufacturing capability of Europe. And as a result, it'll be oh dear, there are simply not enough cars to go around anymore. So they in the end they won't need to mandate it. There literally w
be any. So, um, yep. And that's one big concern I've got. You might think, why would I comment? I I

like machinery. I commented before that I like wrenching, uh, spannering my own vehicles, car cars and bikes, bikes mainly, and I was filmed in 2020 in my bike shed. So, I've paid attention at least to to automotive changes. Um, I recently had a a higher car on holiday, which was brand new, and I hated it. The thing is full of surveillance technology. It's full of uh I think they're called ADAS, automated driver assistance system, something like that. ADAS. And it's an EU set of regulations, but it will be rolled out worldwide. And they're the things that are slightly irritating that beep at you if you're 3 m an hour over the prevailing speed limit. Um will shout at you if you're if you don't stay out

of the windscreen constantly. If you take a hand off the wheel, it'll tell you to put your hand back on the wheel. Those have on average, I read in a trade press, some over 10 cameras in the car, only a few of which are outside. Most of the cameras are facing inside and facing you. You are being recorded at all times that you're driving. It's um one of them focuses on your on your eyes so that it's checking whether you're looking through the screen, uh whether you're tired or not, if your blink rate slows. And this is not to assist you. This is ultimately to in uh ensure that you will not be able to control and drive your own car. So I'll just give you one example. Um you must have heard of 15-minute cities. Even if you think it's a conspiracy theory, just imagine your 15-minute city is a radius of say 3 miles from where you live, might be that much in bad traffic. Um, with these always on cars that connected, uh, what do you think will happen when your vehicle crosses uh, the edge of that 15minute boundary? What I know what's going to happen when it is turned on, uh, the vehicle will probably slow down. It probably won't stop immediately, but it will be disabled. It'll go into limp mode, and you'll only get into first and second gear. Uh, they're not going to allow you to drive out of the 15-minute zone in a modern car. Um, and there there are so many other things that's called geo fencing. Geof fencing, one word. Go and look it up. Uh, I know personally somebody whose car was updated as a favor from a dealer and when she got it back, being a lawyer, she happened to notice that she had to accept new terms and conditions and being had she had plenty of time. She went through the terms and conditions and one of them was when you say okay you will allow the manufacturer and the authorities to activate geo fencing software in this car. That's what they're going to do. So you know and that will ultimately be probably related to your things like your digital ID. Otherwise where do they know where you are? Well with the car they know where the car is but they probably want to associate the owner of the car. They'll they'll know who's in it because your face is in multiple cameras. So, they'll know who's in it. Um, if you try to cover your face with a mask, I suspect the car won't start. If that isn't already incorporated, if I was an evil person, I designed that. And you can assume that whoever is running this are more evil than me and more imaginative. Is this the world you want? It's not the world I want. Uh honestly I would I would detach the internet, turn it off and jump up and down on all the digital devices if I could. Um yeah, lots of things would become inconvenient, but it's a much better world. And what's crucial about that world is it cannot be taken over by a small number of people. These digital devices are essential for the uh the global coup det which started in 2020. without that digital technology and the ability to communicate with with with you to uh alter what your mind is thinking about what you pay attention to to propagandize you and ultimately to regulate you through digital ID without that they couldn't do this all of this control um people say oh why would why do they want to do it it's like I don't know exactly I use the example in several previous interviews that if you witnessed an assault of several

several people were attacking someone on the pavement and you phoned the police and said, "Uh, there's an ongoing attack and I'm I'm worried a guy's going to be killed. I've seen a flash which I think is a knife. Will you get someone here? Wait straight away." The police go, "Wait, and before we come out, we need to know what the motive is for the attack." It's like, see, that's the I don't asking why they're doing it is an understandable question, but it's not material to whether it's actually occurring. It is occurring. I don't know exactly why they're doing it. I don't know. I think

they're deeply evil people who feel entitled to control the life of people like you and me who they regard as profane, useless eaters. That was a phrase used by M by the way before he took steps which led to the deaths by starvation of hundreds of millions of people. I think these people have absolutely no difficulty in taking steps that will lead to the deaths of hundreds of millions of people. It's been done repeatedly and these people are entirely comfortable in doing it and I they are doing it. So, uh, I've said to people, uh, you didn't know that that the injections were dangerous initially. You do now. Anybody who rolls up their sleeve now and has an injection, I have no sympathy for you whatsoever. Um, I know that's an unpleasant thing to say, but uh, I'm I'm not trying to be a diplomat here. If if you are so stupid and uninformed that you will allow yourself to be injected again, I have no sympathy for you. I think it's very important to recognize that there aren't any heroes out there that are going to descend from on high and save us. And I'm certainly not one. Uh but there are very large numbers of us. And the people who are uh trying to take our freedoms away need us to comply with things in order to to control us. And I'm saying to you, we will win if enough of us bottom up simply refuse to go along with their hairbrain plan. So if they want to frighten you with some mad pandemic that doesn't happen, don't be afraid. If they want to tell you to stay at home when it doesn't suit you, go outside. If they insist you wear masks, um, don't wear masks. There's no possible benefit for you wearing masks. Certainly, if they want you to roll up your sleeve, do not accept any injection. Certainly not of these mRNA technologies. Um, they certainly like their digital control technologies. So, do not sign up for digital ID and uh, and many other things really, but uh, try and stay healthy. Uh, go and talk to real people. Give them a hug and uh eat good food, exercise, be out in the daylight, and uh we'll be all right as long as enough of us do not comply.