



A female that reached a negotiation with Bill O'Reilly over harassment accusations took legal action against http://query.nytimes.com/search/sitesearch/?action=click&contentCollection=TopBar&WT.nav=searchWidget&module=SearchSubmit&pgtype=Homepage#/fox_news Mr. O'Reilly as well as Fox News on Monday for disparagement and also violation of agreement, claiming that public statements he and also the network made gone against the negotiation as well as depicted her as a liar as well as politically determined extortionist.

The lady, Rachel Witlieb Bernstein, is among six understood to have reached settlements after making complaints against Mr. O'Reilly. (Her allegations did not include sexual harassment.) None of the others have actually stated anything openly concerning their insurance claims, which entailed unwanted sexual advances.

Mr. O'Reilly has continuously claimed that the harassment accusations that caused his ouster from Fox Information in April have no advantage, that he never abused any person and that he dealt with the issues privately to secure his children.

"As a matter of fact, Mr. O'Reilly is the phony," states the lawsuit, which was submitted in the USA Area Court for <http://investinggold.club/bill-oreillys-precious-metals/> the Southern District of New York City. "He maltreated Ms. Bernstein. She was displaced of her task at Fox News as well as paid a negotiation as a result of his persecution."

Negotiations involving harassment accusations typically have rigorous discretion as well as nondisparagement conditions, which some work lawyers have claimed develop a society of silence around these issues as well as allow transgression to proceed.

Ms. Bernstein's attorneys, Neil Mullin as well as Nancy Erika Smith, have been singing movie critics of making use of nondisclosure agreements and also nondisparagement clauses to silence targets of harassment. They represented the former Fox News host Gretchen Carlson in her July 2016 fit declaring she was bugged by the network's founding chairman, Roger Ailes. In the wake of the claim, issues of sexual harassment at Fox News burst into public sight.

"Recognizing Ms. Bernstein and also Mr. O'Reilly's various other targets are afraid to speak up because he and also Fox required them to sign nondisclosure agreements, O'Reilly and Fox have made incorrect and defaming claims," Mr. Mullin stated in a declaration. "They ought to launch all victims from their NDAs as well as let the fact out. It is afraid to publicly assault these females understanding they have gone through legal arrangements calling for outright silence."

Fredric S. Newman, a lawyer for Mr. O'Reilly, said in a declaration that Mr. O'Reilly had never mentioned Ms. Bernstein's name openly in any context.

"As the original New York City Times tale explains, this was never a case of sexual harassment," Mr. Newman claimed. "So today's lawsuit has definitely no value, and also Mr. O'Reilly will react boldly in court."

A representative for 21st Century Fox, the parent firm of Fox Information, declined to comment.

Ms. Bernstein, in her lawsuit, said that she had actually reached a settlement with Fox News and Mr. O'Reilly in July 2002 after she made duplicated issues concerning his actions to the network's human resources division and other execs. The agreement included a privacy clause, which disallowed both sides from talking about the dispute, in addition to a nondisparagement clause.

The New York City Times reported on Ms. Bernstein's negotiation in April as part of an investigation that exposed exactly how the network had stood by Mr. O'Reilly as he faced a collection of harassment claims. The Times reported that the network understood problems entailing Mr. O'Reilly because at least 2002, when, present and former employees that observed the occurrence said, he stormed into the newsroom and also shouted at Ms. Bernstein. Ms. Bernstein soon left the network.

The precise amount of Ms. Bernstein's negotiation was not known, but it was far less than the five various other openly well-known settlements including Mr. O'Reilly. The 6 negotiations have amounted to concerning \$45 million, including one for \$32 million.

In her fit, Ms. Bernstein said she was not the resource of the information printed in the Times write-up.



Ms. Bernstein declares that declarations made by Mr. O'Reilly and also Fox News in response to the write-up disparaged and defamed her and also breached the confidentiality stipulation of the negotiation, which needed that if asked about the disagreement, the parties could respond by mentioning, "The issue has actually been fixed (or worked out).".

"O'Reilly depicted himself as a 'target' and declared that issues against him are exorbitant," Ms. Bernstein's suit claimed. "This is false. Actually, he is a serial abuser as well as Ms.

Bernstein's issues regarding him were much from extortionate."

Ms. Bernstein stated Fox Information had made a "deliberately misleading" declaration by stating that no existing or former Fox Information employee ever made use of a hotline to report complaints regarding Mr. O'Reilly. She said that there was no hotline at Fox News throughout her employment which she had continuously whined to the human resources division at Fox News, as well as other execs, concerning Mr. O'Reilly's actions.

" This cynical fallacy regarding a nonexistent hotline was made to strengthen O'Reilly's case that the ladies that got settlements need to have made their insurance claims or they would certainly have complained," Ms. Smith claimed in a statement. "But Ms. Bernstein did whine. There is sufficient proof that Fox Information, with the engineering of top executives, enabled the misuse of ladies for many years, after that silenced them nondisclosure arrangements and also nondisparagement provisions."

In the match, Ms. Bernstein claimed she had actually experienced reputational harm, psychological distress, physical health issues and loss of revenue as a result of the declarations made by Mr. O'Reilly as well as Fox Information.